

Ergodic properties of operators in some semi-Hilbertian spaces

authors: W. Majdak, A.-N. Secelean, L. Suciuc
speaker: Witold Majdak

Nemecká, 6.09.2011

Cesàro ergodicity

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **Cesàro ergodic** if the sequence $\{M_n(T)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of Cesàro averages

$$M_n(T) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j$$

of T is convergent in the strong operator topology, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j h = Ph, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

where P is the oblique projection such that

$$\mathcal{N}(P) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(I - T)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}(P) = \mathcal{N}(I - T).$$

Cesàro ergodicity

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **Cesàro ergodic** if the sequence $\{M_n(T)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of Cesàro averages

$$M_n(T) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j$$

of T is convergent in the strong operator topology, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j h = Ph, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

where P is the oblique projection such that

$$\mathcal{N}(P) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(I - T)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}(P) = \mathcal{N}(I - T).$$

Cesàro ergodicity

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **Cesàro ergodic** if the sequence $\{M_n(T)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of Cesàro averages

$$M_n(T) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j$$

of T is convergent in the strong operator topology, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j h = Ph, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

where P is the oblique projection such that

$$\mathcal{N}(P) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(I - T)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}(P) = \mathcal{N}(I - T).$$

Cesàro ergodicity

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **Cesàro ergodic** if the sequence $\{M_n(T)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of Cesàro averages

$$M_n(T) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j$$

of T is convergent in the strong operator topology, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j h = Ph, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

where P is the oblique projection such that

$$\mathcal{N}(P) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(I - T)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}(P) = \mathcal{N}(I - T).$$

If T and T^* are Cesàro ergodic, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{*j} h = P^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Orthogonally mean ergodic operator

If P is an orthogonal projection, then T is called an **orthogonally mean ergodic** operator.

Theorem

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is Cesàro ergodic if and only if it is similar to an orthogonally mean ergodic operator on \mathcal{H} .

If T and T^* are Cesàro ergodic, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{*j} h = P^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Orthogonally mean ergodic operator

If P is an orthogonal projection, then T is called an **orthogonally mean ergodic** operator.

Theorem

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is Cesàro ergodic if and only if it is similar to an orthogonally mean ergodic operator on \mathcal{H} .

If T and T^* are Cesàro ergodic, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{*j} h = P^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Orthogonally mean ergodic operator

If P is an orthogonal projection, then T is called an **orthogonally mean ergodic** operator.

Theorem

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is Cesàro ergodic if and only if it is similar to an orthogonally mean ergodic operator on \mathcal{H} .

Example

Let $C_{1,\cdot}(\mathcal{H})$ be the class of all power bounded operators $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n h\| > 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}.$$

It turns out that if $T \in C_{1,\cdot}(\mathcal{H})$, then T and T^* are orthogonally mean ergodic operators

(Tools from papers of L. Kérchy).

Classical results

$\|T\| \leq 1 \implies T$ is orthogonally mean ergodic,
 $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n\| < \infty \implies T$ is Cesàro ergodic.

Example

Let $C_{1,\cdot}(\mathcal{H})$ be the class of all power bounded operators $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n h\| > 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}.$$

It turns out that if $T \in C_{1,\cdot}(\mathcal{H})$, then T and T^* are orthogonally mean ergodic operators

(Tools from papers of L. Kérchy).

Classical results

$\|T\| \leq 1 \implies T$ is orthogonally mean ergodic,
 $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n\| < \infty \implies T$ is Cesàro ergodic.

Example

Let $C_{1,\cdot}(\mathcal{H})$ be the class of all power bounded operators $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n h\| > 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}.$$

It turns out that if $T \in C_{1,\cdot}(\mathcal{H})$, then T and T^* are orthogonally mean ergodic operators

(Tools from papers of L. Kérchy).

Classical results

$\|T\| \leq 1 \implies T$ is orthogonally mean ergodic,
 $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n\| < \infty \implies T$ is Cesàro ergodic.

Example

Let $C_{1,\cdot}(\mathcal{H})$ be the class of all power bounded operators $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n h\| > 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}.$$

It turns out that if $T \in C_{1,\cdot}(\mathcal{H})$, then T and T^* are orthogonally mean ergodic operators

(Tools from papers of L. Kérchy).

Classical results

$\|T\| \leq 1 \implies T$ is orthogonally mean ergodic,

$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n\| < \infty \implies T$ is Cesàro ergodic.

Example

Let $C_{1,\cdot}(\mathcal{H})$ be the class of all power bounded operators $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n h\| > 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}.$$

It turns out that if $T \in C_{1,\cdot}(\mathcal{H})$, then T and T^* are orthogonally mean ergodic operators

(Tools from papers of L. Kérchy).

Classical results

$\|T\| \leq 1 \implies T$ is orthogonally mean ergodic,
 $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n\| < \infty \implies T$ is Cesàro ergodic.

We assume that $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator, i.e.,

$$\langle Ah, h \rangle \geq 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Such an A induces a positive semidefinite sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\langle h, f \rangle_A = \langle Ah, f \rangle, \quad h, f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Denote by $\| \cdot \|_A$ the seminorm induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$, i.e.,

$$\|h\|_A = \sqrt{\langle h, h \rangle_A}, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We put

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \exists c > 0 \forall h \in \mathcal{H} : \|Th\|_A \leq c\|h\|_A\}.$$

We assume that $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator, i.e.,

$$\langle Ah, h \rangle \geq 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Such an A induces a positive semidefinite sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\langle h, f \rangle_A = \langle Ah, f \rangle, \quad h, f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Denote by $\| \cdot \|_A$ the seminorm induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$, i.e.,

$$\|h\|_A = \sqrt{\langle h, h \rangle_A}, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We put

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \exists c > 0 \forall h \in \mathcal{H} : \|Th\|_A \leq c\|h\|_A\}.$$

We assume that $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator, i.e.,

$$\langle Ah, h \rangle \geq 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Such an A induces a positive semidefinite sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\langle h, f \rangle_A = \langle Ah, f \rangle, \quad h, f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Denote by $\| \cdot \|_A$ the seminorm induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$, i.e.,

$$\|h\|_A = \sqrt{\langle h, h \rangle_A}, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We put

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \exists c > 0 \forall h \in \mathcal{H} : \|Th\|_A \leq c\|h\|_A\}.$$

We assume that $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator, i.e.,

$$\langle Ah, h \rangle \geq 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Such an A induces a positive semidefinite sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\langle h, f \rangle_A = \langle Ah, f \rangle, \quad h, f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Denote by $\| \cdot \|_A$ the seminorm induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$, i.e.,

$$\|h\|_A = \sqrt{\langle h, h \rangle_A}, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We put

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \exists c > 0 \forall h \in \mathcal{H} : \|Th\|_A \leq c\|h\|_A\}.$$

We assume that $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator, i.e.,

$$\langle Ah, h \rangle \geq 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Such an A induces a positive semidefinite sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\langle h, f \rangle_A = \langle Ah, f \rangle, \quad h, f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Denote by $\| \cdot \|_A$ the seminorm induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$, i.e.,

$$\|h\|_A = \sqrt{\langle h, h \rangle_A}, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We put

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \exists c > 0 \forall h \in \mathcal{H} : \|Th\|_A \leq c\|h\|_A\}.$$

A-boundedness

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \exists c > 0 \forall h \in \mathcal{H} : \|Th\|_A \leq c\|h\|_A\}.$$

We equip $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ with the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_A$.

$$\|T\|_A = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{R}(A), h \neq 0} \frac{\|Th\|_A}{\|h\|_A} = \sup_{\|h\|_A \leq 1} \|Th\|_A.$$

A-adjoint

For $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, an operator $S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is called an **A-adjoint of T** if

$$\langle Th, f \rangle_A = \langle h, Sf \rangle_A, \quad h, f \in \mathcal{H},$$

i.e., $AS = T^*A$.

We say that T is **A-selfadjoint** if $AT = T^*A$.

A-boundedness

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \exists c > 0 \forall h \in \mathcal{H} : \|Th\|_A \leq c\|h\|_A\}.$$

We equip $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ with the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_A$.

$$\|T\|_A = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{R}(A), h \neq 0} \frac{\|Th\|_A}{\|h\|_A} = \sup_{\|h\|_A \leq 1} \|Th\|_A.$$

A-adjoint

For $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, an operator $S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is called an **A-adjoint of T** if

$$\langle Th, f \rangle_A = \langle h, Sf \rangle_A, \quad h, f \in \mathcal{H},$$

i.e., $AS = T^*A$.

We say that T is **A-selfadjoint** if $AT = T^*A$.

A-boundedness

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \exists c > 0 \forall h \in \mathcal{H} : \|Th\|_A \leq c\|h\|_A\}.$$

We equip $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ with the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_A$.

$$\|T\|_A = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{R}(A), h \neq 0} \frac{\|Th\|_A}{\|h\|_A} = \sup_{\|h\|_A \leq 1} \|Th\|_A.$$

A-adjoint

For $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, an operator $S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is called
an A-adjoint of T if

$$\langle Th, f \rangle_A = \langle h, Sf \rangle_A, \quad h, f \in \mathcal{H},$$

i.e., $AS = T^*A$.

We say that T is **A-selfadjoint** if $AT = T^*A$.

A-boundedness

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \exists c > 0 \forall h \in \mathcal{H} : \|Th\|_A \leq c\|h\|_A\}.$$

We equip $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ with the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_A$.

$$\|T\|_A = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{R}(A), h \neq 0} \frac{\|Th\|_A}{\|h\|_A} = \sup_{\|h\|_A \leq 1} \|Th\|_A.$$

A-adjoint

For $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, an operator $S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is called **an A-adjoint of T** if

$$\langle Th, f \rangle_A = \langle h, Sf \rangle_A, \quad h, f \in \mathcal{H},$$

i.e., $AS = T^*A$.

We say that T is **A-selfadjoint** if $AT = T^*A$.

A-boundedness

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \exists c > 0 \forall h \in \mathcal{H} : \|Th\|_A \leq c\|h\|_A\}.$$

We equip $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ with the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_A$.

$$\|T\|_A = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{R}(A), h \neq 0} \frac{\|Th\|_A}{\|h\|_A} = \sup_{\|h\|_A \leq 1} \|Th\|_A.$$

A-adjoint

For $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, an operator $S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is called **an A-adjoint of T** if

$$\langle Th, f \rangle_A = \langle h, Sf \rangle_A, \quad h, f \in \mathcal{H},$$

i.e., $AS = T^*A$.

We say that T is **A-selfadjoint** if $AT = T^*A$.

Existence and uniqueness

If $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then $S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$AS = T^*A$$

need not exist.

Note that $\mathcal{B}_{A^2}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$

(S. Hassi, Z. Sebestyén, H.S.V. de Snoo, 2005).

If $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^2}(\mathcal{H})$, then S **exists, but it may not be uniquely determined.**

Existence and uniqueness

If $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then $S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$AS = T^*A$$

need not exist.

Note that $\mathcal{B}_{A^2}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$

(S. Hassi, Z. Sebestyén, H.S.V. de Snoo, 2005).

If $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^2}(\mathcal{H})$, then S exists, but it may not be uniquely determined.

Existence and uniqueness

If $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then $S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$AS = T^*A$$

need not exist.

Note that $\mathcal{B}_{A^2}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$

(S. Hassi, Z. Sebestyén, H.S.V. de Snoo, 2005).

If $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^2}(\mathcal{H})$, then S exists, but it may not be uniquely determined.

Theorem [R.G. Douglas, 1966]

For $L, R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(R) \subset \mathcal{R}(L)$,
- (ii) there exists a positive number λ such that $RR^* \leq \lambda LL^*$,
- (iii) there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $LC = R$.

If (i) holds, then there exists a unique operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$LS = R, \mathcal{R}(S) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(L^*)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(S) = \mathcal{N}(R).$$

If T has an A -adjoint S , then $AS = T^*A$.

By the Douglas theorem, we can find a unique T_A such that

$$AT_A = T^*A, \mathcal{R}(T_A) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(T_A) = \mathcal{N}(T^*A).$$

Theorem [R.G. Douglas, 1966]

For $L, R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(R) \subset \mathcal{R}(L)$,
- (ii) there exists a positive number λ such that $RR^* \leq \lambda LL^*$,
- (iii) there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $LC = R$.

If (i) holds, then there exists a unique operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$LS = R, \mathcal{R}(S) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(L^*)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(S) = \mathcal{N}(R).$$

If T has an A -adjoint S , then $AS = T^*A$.

By the Douglas theorem, we can find a unique T_A such that

$$AT_A = T^*A, \mathcal{R}(T_A) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(T_A) = \mathcal{N}(T^*A).$$

Theorem [R.G. Douglas, 1966]

For $L, R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(R) \subset \mathcal{R}(L)$,
- (ii) there exists a positive number λ such that $RR^* \leq \lambda LL^*$,
- (iii) there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $LC = R$.

If (i) holds, then there exists a unique operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$LS = R, \mathcal{R}(S) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(L^*)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(S) = \mathcal{N}(R).$$

If T has an A -adjoint S , then $AS = T^*A$.

By the Douglas theorem, we can find a unique T_A such that

$$AT_A = T^*A, \mathcal{R}(T_A) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(T_A) = \mathcal{N}(T^*A).$$

Theorem [R.G. Douglas, 1966]

For $L, R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(R) \subset \mathcal{R}(L)$,
- (ii) there exists a positive number λ such that $RR^* \leq \lambda LL^*$,
- (iii) there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $LC = R$.

If (i) holds, then there exists a unique operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$LS = R, \mathcal{R}(S) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(L^*)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(S) = \mathcal{N}(R).$$

If T has an A -adjoint S , then $AS = T^*A$.

By the Douglas theorem, we can find a unique T_A such that

$$AT_A = T^*A, \mathcal{R}(T_A) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(T_A) = \mathcal{N}(T^*A).$$

Theorem [R.G. Douglas, 1966]

For $L, R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(R) \subset \mathcal{R}(L)$,
- (ii) there exists a positive number λ such that $RR^* \leq \lambda LL^*$,
- (iii) there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $LC = R$.

If (i) holds, then there exists a unique operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$LS = R, \mathcal{R}(S) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(L^*)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(S) = \mathcal{N}(R).$$

If T has an A -adjoint S , then $AS = T^*A$.

By the Douglas theorem, we can find a unique T_A such that

$$AT_A = T^*A, \mathcal{R}(T_A) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(T_A) = \mathcal{N}(T^*A).$$

Theorem [R.G. Douglas, 1966]

For $L, R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(R) \subset \mathcal{R}(L)$,
- (ii) there exists a positive number λ such that $RR^* \leq \lambda LL^*$,
- (iii) there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $LC = R$.

If (i) holds, then there exists a unique operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$LS = R, \mathcal{R}(S) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(L^*)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(S) = \mathcal{N}(R).$$

If T has an A -adjoint S , then $AS = T^*A$.

By the Douglas theorem, we can find a unique T_A such that

$$AT_A = T^*A, \mathcal{R}(T_A) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(T_A) = \mathcal{N}(T^*A).$$

Theorem [R.G. Douglas, 1966]

For $L, R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(R) \subset \mathcal{R}(L)$,
- (ii) there exists a positive number λ such that $RR^* \leq \lambda LL^*$,
- (iii) there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $LC = R$.

If (i) holds, then there exists a unique operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$LS = R, \mathcal{R}(S) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(L^*)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(S) = \mathcal{N}(R).$$

If T has an A -adjoint S , then $AS = T^*A$.

By the Douglas theorem, we can find a unique T_A such that

$$AT_A = T^*A, \mathcal{R}(T_A) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(T_A) = \mathcal{N}(T^*A).$$

A-power boundedness

$T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is **A-power bounded** if $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n\|_A < \infty$.

Lemma

For $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) T is A-power bounded,
- (b) $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is power bounded on \mathcal{H} ,

A-power boundedness

$T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is **A-power bounded** if $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n\|_A < \infty$.

Lemma

For $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) T is A-power bounded,
- (b) $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is power bounded on \mathcal{H} ,

Theorem

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be an A -power bounded operator. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T_{A^{1/2}}^j h - Qh \right\|_A = 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}, \quad (1)$$

where $Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the ergodic projection of $T_{A^{1/2}}$. Moreover, $Q \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if there exists $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j h - Ph \right\|_A = 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (2)$$

In this case, $P \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, P is an $A^{1/2}$ -adjoint of Q , and $P_{A^{1/2}} = Q$.

In addition, if $T, P \in \mathcal{B}_{A^2}(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T_A^j h - P_A h \right\|_A = 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}, \quad (3)$$

and $(P_A)_{A^{1/2}} = Q^*$.

In what follows, we put

$$\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}(A^{1/2} - A^{1/2}T), \quad \mathcal{N}_* := \mathcal{N}(A^{1/2} - T^*A^{1/2}).$$

Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is A -power bounded operator and the ergodic projection Q of $T_{A^{1/2}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then TFAE:

- (i) Q is $A^{1/2}$ -selfadjoint, i.e., $A^{1/2}Q = Q^*A^{1/2}$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j h - Qh \right\|_A = 0$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_*$,
- (iv) $A^{1/2}\mathcal{N} = A^{1/2}\mathcal{N}_*$.

Definition

Under assumptions of the above theorem, if one of the above condition holds, then we say that T is A -ergodic.

In what follows, we put

$$\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}(A^{1/2} - A^{1/2}T), \quad \mathcal{N}_* := \mathcal{N}(A^{1/2} - T^*A^{1/2}).$$

Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is A -power bounded operator and the ergodic projection Q of $T_{A^{1/2}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$.

Then TFAE:

- (i) Q is $A^{1/2}$ -selfadjoint, i.e., $A^{1/2}Q = Q^*A^{1/2}$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j h - Qh \right\|_A = 0$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_*$,
- (iv) $A^{1/2}\mathcal{N} = A^{1/2}\mathcal{N}_*$.

Definition

Under assumptions of the above theorem, if one of the above condition holds, then we say that T is A -ergodic.

In what follows, we put

$$\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}(A^{1/2} - A^{1/2}T), \quad \mathcal{N}_* := \mathcal{N}(A^{1/2} - T^*A^{1/2}).$$

Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is A -power bounded operator and the ergodic projection Q of $T_{A^{1/2}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then TFAE:

- (i) Q is $A^{1/2}$ -selfadjoint, i.e., $A^{1/2}Q = Q^*A^{1/2}$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j h - Qh \right\|_A = 0$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_*$,
- (iv) $A^{1/2}\mathcal{N} = A^{1/2}\mathcal{N}_*$.

Definition

Under assumptions of the above theorem, if one of the above condition holds, then we say that T is A -ergodic.

In what follows, we put

$$\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}(A^{1/2} - A^{1/2}T), \quad \mathcal{N}_* := \mathcal{N}(A^{1/2} - T^*A^{1/2}).$$

Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is A -power bounded operator and the ergodic projection Q of $T_{A^{1/2}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then TFAE:

- (i) Q is $A^{1/2}$ -selfadjoint, i.e., $A^{1/2}Q = Q^*A^{1/2}$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j h - Qh \right\|_A = 0$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_*$,
- (iv) $A^{1/2}\mathcal{N} = A^{1/2}\mathcal{N}_*$.

Definition

Under assumptions of the above theorem, if one of the above condition holds, then we say that T is A -ergodic.

Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is an A -power bounded operator for some positive, injective operator A , such that $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is orthogonally mean ergodic. Then TFAE:

- (i) T is orthogonally mean ergodic,
- (ii) T is Cesàro ergodic and A -ergodic.

Does always (i) \Rightarrow (ii)?

If the hypothesis that $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is orthogonally mean ergodic is dropped (i) does not imply (ii).

Does always (ii) \Rightarrow (i)?

We do not know.

Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is an A -power bounded operator for some positive, injective operator A , such that $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is orthogonally mean ergodic. Then TFAE:

- (i) T is orthogonally mean ergodic,
- (ii) T is Cesàro ergodic and A -ergodic.

Does always (i) \Rightarrow (ii)?

If the hypothesis that $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is orthogonally mean ergodic is dropped (i) does not imply (ii).

Does always (ii) \Rightarrow (i)?

We do not know.

Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is an A -power bounded operator for some positive, injective operator A , such that $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is orthogonally mean ergodic. Then TFAE:

- (i) T is orthogonally mean ergodic,
- (ii) T is Cesàro ergodic and A -ergodic.

Does always (i) \Rightarrow (ii)?

If the hypothesis that $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is orthogonally mean ergodic is dropped (i) does not imply (ii).

Does always (ii) \Rightarrow (i)?

We do not know.

Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is an A -power bounded operator for some positive, injective operator A , such that $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is orthogonally mean ergodic. Then TFAE:

- (i) T is orthogonally mean ergodic,
- (ii) T is Cesàro ergodic and A -ergodic.

Does always (i) \Rightarrow (ii)?

If the hypothesis that $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is orthogonally mean ergodic is dropped (i) does not imply (ii).

Does always (ii) \Rightarrow (i)?

We do not know.

Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is an A -power bounded operator for some positive, injective operator A , such that $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is orthogonally mean ergodic. Then TFAE:

- (i) T is orthogonally mean ergodic,
- (ii) T is Cesàro ergodic and A -ergodic.

Does always (i) \Rightarrow (ii)?

If the hypothesis that $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is orthogonally mean ergodic is dropped (i) does not imply (ii).

Does always (ii) \Rightarrow (i)?

We do not know.

Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is an A -power bounded operator for some positive, injective operator A , such that $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is orthogonally mean ergodic. Then TFAE:

- (i) T is orthogonally mean ergodic,
- (ii) T is Cesàro ergodic and A -ergodic.

Does always (i) \Rightarrow (ii)?

If the hypothesis that $T_{A^{1/2}}$ is orthogonally mean ergodic is dropped (i) does not imply (ii).

Does always (ii) \Rightarrow (i)?

We do not know.

Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is A -power bounded operator and the ergodic projection Q of $T_{A^{1/2}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then TFAE:

- (i) Q is $A^{1/2}$ -selfadjoint, i.e., $A^{1/2}Q = Q^*A^{1/2}$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j h - Qh \right\|_A = 0$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_*$,
- (iv) $A^{1/2}\mathcal{N} = A^{1/2}\mathcal{N}_*$.

What happens in a special case?

When $A = I$, the previous theorem says that if an operator is A -ergodic, it is just orthogonally mean ergodic.

Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is A -power bounded operator and the ergodic projection Q of $T_{A^{1/2}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then TFAE:

- (i) Q is $A^{1/2}$ -selfadjoint, i.e., $A^{1/2}Q = Q^*A^{1/2}$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j h - Qh \right\|_A = 0$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_*$,
- (iv) $A^{1/2}\mathcal{N} = A^{1/2}\mathcal{N}_*$.

What happens in a special case?

When $A = I$, the previous theorem says that if an operator is A -ergodic, it is just orthogonally mean ergodic.

Example

\mathcal{H} - a separable Hilbert,

$\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ - the orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Let us consider the unilateral weighted shift $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$Te_n := \frac{n+2}{n+1}e_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Some properties:

- T is A -ergodic for some A ,
- T is not even Cesàro bounded.

Example

\mathcal{H} - a separable Hilbert,

$\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ - the orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Let us consider the unilateral weighted shift $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$Te_n := \frac{n+2}{n+1}e_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Some properties:

- T is A -ergodic for some A ,
- T is not even Cesàro bounded.

Example

\mathcal{H} - a separable Hilbert,

$\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ - the orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Let us consider the unilateral weighted shift $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$Te_n := \frac{n+2}{n+1}e_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Some properties:

- T is A -ergodic for some A ,
- T is not even Cesàro bounded.

- M.L. Arias, G. Corach, M.C. Gonzalez, Partial isometries in semi-Hilbertian spaces, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **428** (2008), 1460-1475.
- M.L. Arias, G. Corach, M.C. Gonzalez, Lifting properties in operator ranges, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **75**, (2009), 635-653.
- S. Hassi, Z. Sebestyén, H.S.V. de Snoo, On the nonnegativity of operator products, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **109** (2005), 1-14.
- L. Kérchy, Isometric asymptotes of power bounded operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **38** (1989), 173-188.
- L. Kérchy, Operators with regular norm-sequences, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **63** (1997), 571-605.
- L. Kérchy, Generalized Toeplitz operators, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **68** (2002), 373-400.
- V. Müller, Y. Tomilov, Quasimilarity of power bounded operators and Blum-Hanson property, *J. Funct. Anal.* **246**, No. 2, (2007), 385-399.

- L. Suciu, Ergodic properties and saturation for A -contractions, *Theta Ser. Adv. Math.*, 6, Theta, Bucarest 2006, 223-240.
- L. Suciu, Maximum A -isometric part of an A -contraction and applications, *Israel J. Math.* **174** (2009), 419-443.
- L. Suciu, Uniformly ergodic A -contractions on Hilbert spaces, *Studia Math.* **194** (2009), 1-22.
- L. Suciu, N. Suciu, Ergodic conditions and spectral properties for A -contractions, *Opuscula Math.* **2** (2008), 195-216.